IN THE CIRCUIT COURT THIRD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF ILLINOIS MADISON COUNTY

HOLIDAY SHORES SANITARY DISTRICT,)
et al.)
) Cause No. 2004-L-710
Plaintiff,)
)
V.)
)
SYNGENTA CROP PROTECTION, INC., AND)
GROWMARK, INC.,)
)
Defendants.)

OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' SUBPOENAS BY CERTAIN AGRICULTURAL CHEMICAL DEALERS AND APPLICATORS

Bockhorn AG Inc., Earlville Farmers Cooperative, and Inness Farm Supply (the "Companies") have reviewed the requests in the subpoenas served upon their employees or managers in this case and object as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- A. In general, the timing on the deposition and production of documents is unreasonable. These subpoenas have been recently served. The depositions for these Companies are scheduled for early August, and the productions are due at the same time. The Companies and their employees are in their busiest season. In some instances, planting and spraying may still be on going.
- B. Additionally, these subpoenas, while asking for company records, were not served on the Companies themselves, but were instead served on various employees. Most of these employees do not have custody or control of the requested records. Additionally, the records, to the extent they exist, belong to the Company and not the individual employees.

- C. The checks sent with the subpoenas are not sufficient to cover the cost of copying the documents requested by plaintiffs. Plaintiffs must bear the cost of copying the records.
- D. The requests may call for documents or information protected by the Attorney-Client Privilege, Work Product Doctrine, or Joint Defense Privilege.
- E. The ten year time period for records requested by the subpoena is unreasonable, overbroad and unduly burdensome.
 - F. The Companies object to depositions proceeding at their facilities.
- 1. All documents regarding each purchase by you of any atrazine-containing product within the last ten years, including but not limited to all records of the date of the purchase, the name, address and telephone number of the seller, and the identity (including but not limited to EPA Registration Number and brand name) and quantity of the product purchased.

Objection: The General Objections are incorporated by reference as if fully stated here. The Companies objects to this request as unduly burdensome and overbroad. The Companies are just starting their investigations, but do not believe that ten years of purchase documents or data are even available. This request also asks for "all" documents, which would encompass paper records as well as electronic records that may exist. This request also seemingly would include electronic mail, which would be overly burdensome for the Companies to produce. The Companies also objects on the ground that this request calls for confidential, proprietary or trade secret information. The Companies understand that there is a Protective Order in this case but may require further protections for its purchase data.

2. All documents that any state or federal law or regulation concerning restricted-use pesticides required you to collect or keep in connection with each purchase by you of any atrazine-containing-product within the last ten years.

Objection: The General Objections are incorporated by reference as if fully stated here. This request is unduly burdensome and calls for a legal conclusion.

3. All documents regarding each sale by you of any atrazine-containing product within the last ten years, including but not limited to all records of the date of the sale, the name, address and telephone number of the buyer, the identity of the product sold (including but not limited to the identity of the manufacturer, dealer or distributor from which the product was purchased, the EPA Registration Number and the brand name), the quantity of the product sold, the location at which the product was to be applied, and the rate at which and method by which the product was to be applied.

Objection: The General Objections are incorporated by reference as if fully stated here. The Companies object to this request as unduly burdensome and overbroad. The Companies are just starting their investigations, but do not believe that ten years of sales documents or data is even available. This request also asks for "all" documents, which would encompass paper records as well as electronic records that may exist. This request also seemingly requests electronic mail, which would be overly burdensome for the Companies to produce. The Companies also object on the ground that this request calls for confidential, proprietary or trade secret information. The Companies also object on the ground that this request calls for private customer information that may be protected by various laws. The Companies understand that there is a Protective Order in this case but may require further protections.

4. All documents that any state or federal law or regulation concerning restricteduse pesticides required you to collect or keep in connection with each sale by you of any atrazine-containing-product within the last ten years.

Objection: The General Objections are incorporated by reference as if fully stated here. This request is unduly burdensome and calls for a legal conclusion.

5. All documents regarding each application by you of any atrazine-containing product within the last ten years, including but not limited to all records of the date of the

application, the name, address and telephone number of the person who performed the application, the identity of the product applied (including but not limited to the identity of the manufacturer, dealer or distributor from which the product was purchased, the EPA Registration Number and the brand name), the quantity of the product applied, the rate of application, the method of application, the location of the application, and the name, address and telephone number of the person or entity for whom the application was performed.

Objection: The General Objections are incorporated by reference as if fully stated here. The Companies object to this request as overbroad and unduly burdensome. The Companies have varying numbers of employees who hold applicator or operator licenses, any one of whom could have applied atrazine or an atrazine-containing product. The Companies also object on the ground that this request calls for confidential, proprietary or trade secret information. The Companies also object on the ground that this request calls for private customer information that may be protected by various laws. The Company understands that there is a Protective Order in this case but may require further protections.

6. All documents that any state or federal law or regulation concerning restricted-use pesticides required you to collect or keep in connection with each application by you of any atrazine-containing-product within the last ten years.

Objection: The General Objections are incorporated by reference as if fully stated here. This request is unduly burdensome and calls for a legal conclusion.

7. All documents and information regarding your or your customers' training, registration, licensing or certification to formulate, distribute, sell, purchase or apply atrazine-containing products.

Objection: The General Objections are incorporated by reference as if fully stated here. The companies object to this request because it is not bound by any time period and it is overbroad.

8. All written warnings, instructions and other product information regarding atrazine or any atrazine-containing product that you have received from any manufacturer, distributor or dealer of such products within the last ten years.

The General Objections are incorporated by reference as if fully stated Objection: here. The companies object to this request because warnings, instructions and product information are publicly available and are as available to the plaintiffs as they are to the companies.

Dated: July 20, 2011

Bockhorn AG Inc., Earlville Farmers Cooperative, and Inness Farm Supply

Denise A. Lazar (# 6256147) BARNES & THORNBURG LLP One North Wacker Drive, Suite 4400 Chicago, IL 60606 312-357-1313 312-759-5646 (Fax)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVCE

I, Denise A Lazar., an attorney, state that I have caused a true and correct copy of the *Objections to Plaintiffs' Subpoenas by Certain Agricultural Chemical Dealers and Applicators* to be served upon the following attorneys of record at the addresses listed below via U.S. mail service this 20th day of July, 2011:

Michael A. Pope McDermott, Will & Emery 227 West Monroe Street Chicago, IL 60606-5096

Kurtis B. Reeg The Reeg Law Firm 1 North Brentwood Blvd., Ste 950 St. Louis, MO 63105

Scott Summy Baron & Budd 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 1100 Dallas, TX 75219-4281 Robert H. Shultz, Jr. Heyl, Royster, Voelker & Allen Mark Twain Plaza II, Suite 100 105 West Vandalia Street Edwardsville, IL 62025

Mark C. Surprenant Adams & Reese 4500 One Shell Square New Orleans, LA 70139

Anne G. Kimball Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP 225 W. Wacker Drive, Suite 2800 Chicago, IL 60606

The following attorney was served via U.S. mail and facsimile:

Stephen M. Tillery Korein Tillery U.S. Bank Plaza 505 North 7th Street, Suite 3600 St. Louis, MO 63101 314-241-3525

Jenus Atrasu